

7. ~~The~~ spirit of the two orders as I could be able to understand is to ensure that the vote after being cast are put in a sealed cover so that nobody should have any access to the votes polled by the 23 voters.
8. ~~The~~ voting of the Official group of 53 voters was done in a separate compartment in respect of which there was no Court's directive .
9. ~~The~~ dispute arose as to whether the votes of the 23 voters representing the plaintiffs of the Civil Suit should be counted or not. ~~The~~ representative of the plaintiffs asked for immediate counting and if no counting is permitted of their votes then there should not be counting of the official group of 53 voters. On the other hand the version of the Official group is that the High Court has not granted any injunction against counting of votes of 53 voters of Official group and if the RO stops counting then that will amount to putting injunction against counting which will be illegal . According to them so far the 23 voters representing the plaintiffs is concerned, the Hon'ble High Court direction was to keep the votes immediately in sealed cover after being casted. It is submitted that the entitlement of 23 voters to cast votes has to be decided in a Court Of Law first . My attention has been drawn to Clause 4 of the Electoral College whereby each state has to be represented by two members and in